Artifacts 003: Aggregate Report on Artifact Templates

Parameter Title Select an Artifact Template	Parameter Value Teacher Candidate Services and Operations Survey (begin use Fall 2013): Student Teaching
Select From Date	09/01/2018
Select To Date	08/31/2019
Select a Program	All
	₹≣ export
THE TABLE/TABLES BELOW CONTAIN AGGREGATE	DATA FOR THE ARTIFACT TEMPLATE: Teacher Candidate Services and Operations Survey (begin use Fall 2013): Student Teaching

THE PADEL PADELS DELOW SONTAIN ASSISTEDATA FOR THE ARTH AST TERM EATE. Teacher Sandidate Services and Operations Survey (begin use that 2015). Student readming

Please 159 35.89% 17 3.84% 97 21.9% 1 0.23% 108 24.38% 5 1.13% 8 1.81% 16 3.61% 32 7.22% 0 0% 443 indicate the county in which you resided during the current semester		# Walker	% Walker	Burleson, Grimes, Robertson, or		Montgomery or Liberty	or Liberty	Limestone,			Harris	San Jacinto, or		Houston,	Leon, or Madison	Ft. Bend, or	Ft. Bend,		% other	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response
	indicate the county in which you resided during the current	159	35.89%	17	3.84%	97	21.9%	1	0.23%	108	24.38%	5	1.13%	8	1.81%	16	3.61%	32	7.22%	0	0%	443

By whom was your primary advisement 189 42.66% 107 24.15% 147 33.18% 0 0% 443 conducted?	# SAM Center Personnel	% SAM Center Personnel	# Program Instructor/Professor	% Program Instructor/Professor	# Assigned Program Advisor	% Assigned Program Advisor	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response
	189	42.66%	107	24.15%	147	33.18%	0	0%	443

	# SAM Center	% SAM Center	# On-line	% On-line	# Face-to-Face	% Face-to-Face	# By Phone	% By Phone	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response
In what location was your primary advisement conducted?	184	41.53%	81	18.28%	172	38.83%	6	1.35%	0	0%	443

Please rate the following on ADVISEMENT	# low quality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mear	n Media	n Mod	e Standard Deviation
Accuracy of advisement information	15	3.4%	133	30.16%	293	66.44%	2	0.45%	441	2.63	3	3	0.55
Responsiveness of advisement personnel	14	3.16%	119	26.86%	310	69.98%	0	0%	443	2.67	3	3	0.53
Quality of information regarding advisement posted on website	14	3.21%	138	31.65%	284	65.14%	7	1.58%	436	2.62	3	3	0.55

OVERALL QUALITY of services related to advisement in your program	12	2.71%	135	30.54%	295	66.74%	1	0.23%	442	2.64	3	3	0.53
Total/Percentage	61	2.77%	611	27.75%	1530	69.48%	13	0.59%	2202				
Please rate the following on ADMISSION TO PROGRAM	# low qualty	% low qualty	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Median	Mode	Standard Deviation
Accuracy of information related to admission to the program	13	2.93%	116	26.19%	314	70.88%	0	0%	443	2.68	3	3	0.53
Responsiveness of college as related to admission to the program	22	4.98%	119	26.92%	301	68.1%	1	0.23%	442	2.63	3	3	0.58
Quality of information on the website related to admission to the program	17	3.86%	140	31.82%	283	64.32%	3	0.68%	440	2.6	3	3	0.56
Importance of the above items to my success	7	1.59%	80	18.14%	354	80.27%	2	0.45%	441	2.79	3	3	0.44
OVERALL QUALITY of services related to admission to the program	10	2.26%	120	27.09%	313	70.65%	0	0%	443	2.68	3	3	0.51

348

1565

79.09%

70.85%

6

3

0.68%

0.27%

440

2209

2.77 3

3

0.45

19.55%

26.03%

1.36%

3.12%

575

69

86

Importance of the above items to my success

Total/Percentage

Please rate the following on ASSESSMENT OF YOUR PERFORMANCE BY YOUR PROFESSORS AND SUPERVISORS	# low quality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Median	Mode	Standard Deviation
Accuracy of assessments of your academic performance in your education/pedagogy/teaching courses	7	1.58%	82	18.51%	354	79.91%	0	0%	443	2.78	3	3	0.45
Fairness of assessments of your academic performance in your education/pedagogy/teaching courses	5	1.13%	89	20.18%	347	78.68%	2	0.45%	441	2.78	3	3	0.44
Accuracy of assessment of your teaching/planning /pedagogical skills and performances in student teaching or internship	4	0.9%	74	16.74%	364	82.35%	1	0.23%	442	2.81	3	3	0.41
Fairness of assessment of your teaching/planning /pedagogical skills and performances in student teaching or internship	4	0.9%	78	17.65%	360	81.45%	1	0.23%	442	2.81	3	3	0.42
Accuracy of assessment of your attitudes or dispositions	3	0.68%	74	16.86%	362	82.46%	4	0.9%	439	2.82	3	3	0.4
Degree to which education professors/instructors (education or support courses) modeled (demonstrated in their practices) the types of assessments that you are expected to employ in the field	19	4.29%	89	20.09%	335	75.62%	0	0%	443	2.71	3	3	0.54
Importance of the above items to my success	2	0.45%	60	13.57%	380	85.97%	1	0.23%	442	2.86	3	3	0.36
OVERALL QUALITY of assessment of your performance by your professors and supervisors	5	1.14%	65	14.77%	370	84.09%	3	0.68%	440	2.83	3	3	0.41
Total/Percentage	49	1.39%	611	17.3%	2872	81.31%	12	0.34%	3532				

Please rate the following on MANAGEMENT AND ORGANIZATION OF YOUR LEARNING EXPERIENCES/INFORMATION NEEDS	# low quality	% low quality 2.48%	# acceptable quality 109	% acceptable quality 24.6%	# high quality 323	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response 0%	Total Response 443	Mean	Median		Standard Deviation 0.51
Access to education courses you needed	11						U			2.1	3		
Access to library/media materials	14	3.2%	102	23.34%	321	73.46%	6	1.35%	437	2.7	3	3	0.52
Quality of library holdings	12	2.91%	133	32.28%	267	64.81%	31	7%	412	2.62	3	3	0.54
Quality of departmental holdings (e.g., curriculum guides, assessment materials)	16	3.73%	130	30.3%	283	65.97%	14	3.16%	429	2.62	3	3	0.56
Accessibility of materials (library)	10	2.34%	126	29.44%	292	68.22%	15	3.39%	428	2.66	3	3	0.52
Please rate your program⊡s web site	10	2.27%	138	31.36%	292	66.36%	3	0.68%	440	2.64	3	3	0.52

Access to technology (computers, instructional technology equipment and software)	9	2.04%	90	20.36%	343	77.6%	1	0.23%	442	2.76		3	0.47
Quality of technology support \square ease of use - functionality	12	2.73%	117	26.59%	311	70.68%	3	0.68%	440	2.68	3	3	0.52
Importance of the above items to my success	7	1.59%	84	19.09%	349	79.32%	3	0.68%	440	2.78	3	3	0.45
OVERALL QUALITY of learning experiences and information needs	4	0.9%	102	23.02%	337	76.07%	0	0%	443	2.75	3	3	0.45
Total/Percentage	105	2.41%	1131	25.98%	3118	71.61%	76	1.72%	4354				

Please rate the following on MANAGEMENT AND ORGANIZATION OF YOUR FIELD EXPERIENCES	# low quality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Mediar	Mode	Standard Deviation
Types of field experiences available	6	1.36%	72	16.29%	364	82.35%	1	0.23%	442	2.81	3	3	0.43
Number/length of field experiences available to you	8	1.81%	79	17.87%	355	80.32%	1	0.23%	442	2.79	3	3	0.45
Your overall experience with field experiences in terms of preparation	14	3.17%	77	17.46%	350	79.37%	2	0.45%	441	2.76	3	3	0.49
Application of educational technologies in field experience settings	13	2.95%	102	23.18%	325	73.86%	3	0.68%	440	2.71	3	3	0.52
Integration of technologies throughout field experience settings	12	2.72%	107	24.26%	322	73.02%	2	0.45%	441	2.7	3	3	0.51
Importance of the above items to my success	7	1.59%	60	13.61%	374	84.81%	2	0.45%	441	2.83	3	3	0.41
OVERALL QUALITY of the management and organization of your field experiences	7	1.58%	79	17.87%	356	80.54%	1	0.23%	442	2.79	3	3	0.44
Total/Percentage	67	2.17%	576	18.65%	2446	79.18%	12	0.39%	3089				

# low quality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response		Median	Mode	Standard Deviation
8	1.81%	75	16.97%	359	81.22%	1	0.23%	442	2.79	3	3	0.45
9	2.04%	95	21.54%	337	76.42%	2	0.45%	441	2.74	3	3	0.48
. 6	1.36%	87	19.68%	349	78.96%	1	0.23%	442	2.78	3	3	0.45
6	1.36%	68	15.38%	368	83.26%	1	0.23%	442	2.82	3	3	0.42
5	1.13%	60	13.61%	376	85.26%	2	0.45%	441	2.84	3	3	0.4
3	0.68%	79	17.87%	360	81.45%	1	0.23%	442	2.81	3	3	0.41
37	1.4%	464	17.51%	2149	81.09%	8	0.3%	2650				
	quality 8 9 - 6 6 5 3	quality a quality 8 1.81% 9 2.04% 6 1.36% 6 1.36% 5 1.13% 3 0.68%	quality quality quality 8 1.81% 75 9 2.04% 95 6 1.36% 87 6 1.36% 68 5 1.13% 60 3 0.68% 79	quality quality quality quality 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 3 0.68% 79 17.87%	quality quality <t< td=""><td>quality quality <t< td=""><td>quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1</td><td>quality quality Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23%</td><td>quality quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 442 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442</td><td>quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 2.74 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 2.78 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 2.82 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 2.84 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442 2.81</td><td>quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 442 2.79 3 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 2.74 3 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 2.78 3 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 2.82 3 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 2.84 3 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442 2.81 3</td><td>quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 442 2.79 3 3 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 2.74 3 3 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 2.78 3 3 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 2.82 3 3 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 2.84 3 3 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442 2.81 3 3</td></t<></td></t<>	quality quality <t< td=""><td>quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1</td><td>quality quality Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23%</td><td>quality quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 442 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442</td><td>quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 2.74 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 2.78 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 2.82 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 2.84 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442 2.81</td><td>quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 442 2.79 3 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 2.74 3 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 2.78 3 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 2.82 3 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 2.84 3 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442 2.81 3</td><td>quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 442 2.79 3 3 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 2.74 3 3 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 2.78 3 3 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 2.82 3 3 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 2.84 3 3 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442 2.81 3 3</td></t<>	quality Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1	quality Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23%	quality quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 442 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442	quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 2.74 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 2.78 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 2.82 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 2.84 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442 2.81	quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 442 2.79 3 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 2.74 3 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 2.78 3 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 2.82 3 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 2.84 3 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442 2.81 3	quality quality quality quality quality quality Response Response Response 8 1.81% 75 16.97% 359 81.22% 1 0.23% 442 2.79 3 3 9 2.04% 95 21.54% 337 76.42% 2 0.45% 441 2.74 3 3 6 1.36% 87 19.68% 349 78.96% 1 0.23% 442 2.78 3 3 6 1.36% 68 15.38% 368 83.26% 1 0.23% 442 2.82 3 3 5 1.13% 60 13.61% 376 85.26% 2 0.45% 441 2.84 3 3 3 0.68% 79 17.87% 360 81.45% 1 0.23% 442 2.81 3 3

Please rate the following on SHSU SERVICE RELATED TO □TEXES□ EXAMINATIONS	# low quality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Mediar	Mode	Standard Deviation
Accuracy of information received from SHSU related to TExES Examinations	26	5.88%	132	29.86%	284	64.25%	1	0.23%	442	2.58	3	3	0.6
Responsiveness of Educator Preparation Services personnel related to facilitation of TExES Examinations	37	8.41%	132	30%	271	61.59%	3	0.68%	440	2.53	3	3	0.65
Quality of information on the SHSU website related to TExES Examinations	37	8.47%	146	33.41%	254	58.12%	6	1.35%	437	2.5	3	3	0.65
Importance of the above items to my success	7	1.58%	74	16.7%	362	81.72%	0	0%	443	2.8	3	3	0.44
OVERALL QUALITY of services from Educator Preparation Services related to TExES Examinations	23	5.2%	124	28.05%	295	66.74%	1	0.23%	442	2.62	3	3	0.58

Total/Percentage 130	5.9%	608		27.59%	146	6	66.52%	% 11	0.8	5%	2204				
Please rate the following on SHSU SERVICE RELATED TO OBTAINING TEA/SBEC CERTIFICATION	# low quality	% low quality		eptable y	% acceptabl quality	e # hi	-	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Median	ı Mode	Standard Deviation
Accuracy of information from SHSU related to the state of Texas certification procedures	28	6.36%	125		28.41%	287	6	65.23%	3	0.68%	440	2.59	3	3	0.61
Responsiveness of college as related to facilitation of certification procedures	38	8.76%	125		28.8%	271	6	62.44%	9	2.03%	434	2.54	3	3	0.65
Quality of information on the Educator Preparation Services website related to certification procedures and obtaining teacher certification	35	8.01%	127		29.06%	275	6	62.93%	6	1.35%	437	2.55	3	3	0.64
Importance of the above items to my success	6	1.37%	72		16.4%	361	8	82.23%	4	0.9%	439	2.81	3	3	0.42
OVERALL QUALITY of services by SHSU related to obtaining certification	22	5.02%	118		26.94%	298	6	68.04%	5	1.13%	438	2.63	3	3	0.57
Total/Percentage	129	5.9%	567		25.91%	149	2 6	68.19%	27	1.22%	2188				
Please rate the following on CONNECTION OF LEARNING EXPERIENCES TO CONCEIFRAMEWORK	PTUAL	# low quality	% low quality	# accepta			# high quality	% high quality	# No Respons	% No se Respons	Total se Response		Median	ı Mode	Standard Deviation
Quality of learning experiences that prepared you to create authentic technological environr	nents	12	2.72%	122	27.66%)	307	69.61%	2	0.45%	441	2.67	3	3	0.53
Quality of learning experiences that prepared you to be an effective communicator using a media	ariety of	7	1.59%	94	21.36%)	339	77.05%	3	0.68%	440	2.75	3	3	0.47
Quality of learning experiences related to your ability to assess performance and provide fe will lead to P-12 student growth both academically and developmentally	edback that	6	1.36%	95	21.54%)	340	77.1%	2	0.45%	441	2.76	3	3	0.46
Quality of learning experiences related to the use of multiple formal and informal methods o development needs and strengths	f assessing	5	1.13%	82	18.55%)	355	80.32%	1	0.23%	442	2.79	3	3	0.43
Quality of learning experiences that led you to master analysis and use of learner profiles to 12 student success	facilitate P-	9	2.05%	100	22.73%)	331	75.23%	3	0.68%	440	2.73	3	3	0.49

0.45% 65

1.46% 647

89

0.91%

14.74%

20.23%

20.97%

374

347

2393

84.81% 2

78.86% 3

77.57% 16

0.45%

0.68%

0.52%

441

440

3085

2.84 3

2.78 3

3

3

0.38

0.44

2

4

45

Importance of the above items to my success

Total/Percentage

OVERALL QUALITY of the connection of your learning experiences to the conceptual framework